UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,250	09/15/2003	Steve Sorem	72167.000547	6949
	7590 09/17/200 /ILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPA	DAGNEW, SABA		
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	1, IN. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1109	3688		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/665,250)	SOREM, STEVE					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			SABA DAG	NEW	3688				
<i>The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Resn	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 Se	ntember 20	003					
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
<i>′</i> =		<i>-</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·	ioo andor Ex	A parto Que	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	50 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of	Claims								
4)⊠ Claim	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are rejected.								
·	n(s) is/are objected to.								
•	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election re	guirement.					
Application Pa									
	•	_							
•	pecification is objected to by the								
·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	ant may not request that any obje		,	-	* *				
Repla	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) <u></u> The o	ath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date <u>31 October 2006</u> .			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-69 are presented for examination.

Claim Numbering

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.75 which requires claims to be numbered consecutively. Misnumbered claims 46-70 have been renumbered 45-69.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The clams are replete with some examples as follows:

Claim 1, it is unclear whether Applicant is claming the subcombination of the remote station or the combination of an internet connection as claimed in claim 7.

If it's Applicant's intent to claim only the subcombination, the body of the claims must be amended to remove any positive recitation of the combination. If it's Applicant's intent to claim only the combination, the preamble of the claim must be amended to be consistent with the language in the body of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/665,250

Art Unit: 3688

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Claims 1-17, 24-25, 28-38, 44-50, 54-63, and 65-69 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,106)

With respect to claims 1, 25, 44, 50 and 59-61 Walker teaches a method for tracking promotion at least one group products, a remote station for tracking valuation, a system, and method of at least one of a group of products, each of which bears a code that uniquely identifies each product in the group, method comprises:

communicatively coupling a remote station to a user station (Fig. 1, and Col. 4, lines 1-12, where "personal computer" reads on user station and "central controller 2" reads on a remote station);

providing a database at the remote station (Fig. 2, teaches four databases 26-32 Fig. 3 and Col. 4, lines 23-27, where "central controller 2" connected to "storage device" reads on database);

storing in the database ones of the uniquely identifying codes that have been previously received from one or more user stations (Fig. 4, where "item code" reads on uniquely identifying code and Col. 2, lines 39-67, which teaches receiving data identifying the first product code);

comparing each new candidate code received from a given user station against the previously received codes stored in the database (*Col. 3, lines 1-15, and Col. 11*,

Page 4

Art Unit: 3688

11-29, which teaches matching the value of the gift certificate (product) code with the product selected);

crediting an account of a user associated with the given user station with a non-zero promotional credit only if such new candidate code received from the given user station was not previously stored in the database (Col. 2, lines 53-59, where "adjusting credit value" reads on crediting an account of a user, Col. 3, lines 1-15, which teaches "reduce the initial value" reads on non-zero promotional credit and Col. 11, lines 17-22, where "returning the change to the buyer via credit card" reads on crediting an account of user); and

storing such new candidate code in the database as a previously received code if such new candidate code was not previously stored in the database (*Fig. 5, 70B, e.g.* teaches "code number 6C1076223, stored after the value credited to user account" and Col. 11, lines 30-47, which teaches maintaining the gift certificate code).

With respect to claim 2, Walker teaches all elements of claim 1, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database further includes at least one permissible new candidate code (Fig. 2, 28, which teaches gift certificate code database and Fig. 5, code number 72, allowable candidate code), and

wherein the at least one permissible new candidate code may be provided by a provider of the ones in the group (Fig. 7A, 128 and Col. 6, lines 23-34, where teaches "merchant been established gift items" candidate code may be provided by provider

Col. 6, lines 65-67, where "a gift code central controller 12" reads on provider provided a gift code and Col. 7, lines 1-5), and

wherein said database server compares each new candidate code against the at least one permissible new candidate code (Col. 11, lines 35-47, which teaches examine to identify records)

With respect to claims 3 Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 2, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein the non-zero valuation credit may be not credited if the new candidate code doesn't match one of the at least one permissible new candidate codes (*Fig. 7A, 140, which teaches credit card code doesn't match, it passes an error message such as " invalid account"*)

With respect to claims 4, and 9, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 3, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein there are at least two groups, and wherein each group may be provided by a different provider (Fig. 5, 82, multiple seller ID number shows that there are more than provider).

With respect to claims 5, and 10 Walker teaches all elements of claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database server maintains separate previously received codes and separate permissible new candidate codes for each provider (*Fig. 2, and Col. 4, lines 23-35, which teaches maintaining a separate database*).

With respect to claims 6 and 32, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 25, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database may be resident on a

network server at the remote station (Fig. 2, and Col. 4, lines 23-43, which teaches storage device 22, contain for databases).

With respect to claims 7, 33, 62 and 63, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1, 6, 25, 32 and 61, furthermore, Walker teaches the method, wherein the communicative coupling may be an internet connection (Col. 4, lines 1-5, which teaches connecting via internet).

With respect to claim 8, Walker teaches all elements of claim 1; furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database server includes one account associated with each user to correspond to one group for the crediting of the non-zero valuation credit (Col. 11, lines 18-26, where "direct refund" reads on crediting non-zero valuation credit)

With respect to claims 11, 34, 46 and 55, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1, 25, 44 and 50, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database server, upon storing a previously received code, further stores the previously received code as a consumed code (*Fig. 5 and Col. 5, lines 48-55*, which teaches gift certificate "filled/redeemed" reads on consumed)

With respect to claims 12, 35, 47 and 56 Walker teaches all elements of claims 1, 11, 25, 34, 44, 46, 50 and 55, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein the consumed code cannot be entered as a previously received code by a subsequent user (Col. 8, lines 5662, which teaches cancelling as expired).

With respect to claims 13-17, and 36-38 Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 25 Walker teaches a method wherein the uniquely identifying code may be a UPC, SPIF, numeric, alphabetic and alpha-numeric (*Fig. 4, 62*, where "item code" reads on unique code, which is numeric *Fig. 5, 72*, where teaches alpha-numeric code).

With respect to claim 24, Walker teaches all element of claim 1, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein a credit certificate may be issued to the user account once a pre set value of non-zero valuation credits may be accumulated in the user account (Col. 8, lines 56-64, where "redeemer/user submit accumulated initial code value" reads on non-zero valuation credit may be accumulated).

With respect to claims 28, 48 and 57, Walker teaches all elements of claim 25, 44 and 50, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said database further includes at least one permissible new candidate code, and wherein the at least one permissible new candidate code may be provided by a provider of the certificates in the group (Col. 8, lines 8-19, which teaches gift certificate code generated and mailed to customer by the credit card issuer) and wherein said database server compares each new candidate code against the at least one permissible new candidate code (Col. 8, lines 12-19, which teaches direction are provided, where customer to contact to activate the code, and the controller compares and issue authorization).

With respect to claim 29, Walker addressed by the rejection of claim 3 as cited above.

With respect to claim 30-31, Walker addressed by the rejection of claims 4 and 5 as cited above.

With respect to claims 45 and 54 Walker teaches all elements of claims 44 and 50, furthermore, teaches Walker the method of further comprising receiving at the remote station from the user station the new candidate code prior to said comparing (Col. 3, lines 4-8, which teaches receiving data identifying product code).

With respect to claim 49, Walker addressed by the rejection of claim 3 as cited above.

With respect to claim 58, Walker addressed by the rejection of clams 1 and 2 as cited above.

With respect to claim 65, Walker teaches all elements of claim 61, furthermore, Walker teaches the method wherein said at least one back-end service includes at least one of a server and software resource (Col. 4, lines 1-22, where "buyer/redeemer interface" reads on software and Col. 4, lines 37-43, teaches "control programs and Certificate redemption program" reads on software and server is inherent) and consumer account (Col. 5, lines 4-8, which teach account established).

With respect to claim 66-69, Walker teaches all elements of claim 61, furthermore, Walker teaches wherein transactions include value based transactions, interacting includes debit, credit (Col. 4, line 67, and Col. 5, 1-3, which teaches debit and credit transaction) and value chaining (Col. 11, lines 19-20, which teaches direct refund)

Art Unit: 3688

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-22, 26-27, 39-42, 51-53 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,106)

With respect to claim 18, Walker teaches all elements of clam 1, except new candidate code may be placed under a peel off label. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it's old and well known in the art to place a product code under peel off label to remove the label from the product easily. For example, peeling off the piece of paper affixed from the product. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a peel of label feature in order to remove the code from the product.

With respect to claims 19-22 and 39-42, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 25, including a user input device such as a keyboard to type the new candidate code into an internet browser (*Col. 4, lines 1-5*), but Walker does not explicitly teaches a code reader. However, the examiner takes an official notice that it's old and well known in the art that to have code reader for tracking asset and inventory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add code reader feature in order to minimize cost.

With respect to claims 26, 51 and 64, Walker teaches all elements of claims 25, , 50, 61 and 62 including buyer is a register user (*Col. 6, lines 39-40*), but Walker does not explicitly teaches that the user may be a retailer. Therefore, official notice taken it is well known in the art at the time the invention was made to add seller of the certificate as a customer of the product of the manufacturer because a seller of the gift certificate is allowing its customer to buy a manufacture's product and to give flexible process for the redeemer.

With respect to claims 27, Walker teaches all elements of claim 25 and 26, furthermore, Walker teaches the method, wherein the account credited may be a retailer's account, and wherein the non-zero certificate credit may be equivalent to a purchase value for the certificate (Col. 5, line 1-3, which teaches "a card number stored in being debited for at purchase for a pre-paid certificate)

With respect to claim 52, Walker teaches all elements of claims 50 and 51, furthermore, Walker teaches the method further comprising exchanging, by the retailer, of at least one product of value equivalent to the gift certificate for the gift certificate, prior to said comparing (*Fig. 5, 70D*, which teaches initial value is equal to remaining code value and Col. 2, lines 34-39, where "selecting a gift within a value of the certificate" reads on product value equivalent to the gift certificate)

With respect to claim 53, Walker addressed by the rejection of claim 27 as cited above.

Art Unit: 3688

Claims 23, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,106) in view of Dodd (U.S. Patent No. 6, 321, 211).

With respect to claims 23 and 43, Walker teaches all elements of claims 1 and 25, including redeeming and receiving a gift certificate codes via internet *(Col. 8, lines 39-46)*. However, Walker does not teach the method wherein a web browser may be resident on the remote station and the user station.

Dodd teaches the method wherein a web browser may be resident on the remote station and the user station (*Col. 3, lines 28-36, which teaches user/gift giver uses browser to select online gift for the recipient*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invitation was made to add Todd's browser software feature to Walker's dynamic gift selection and redeeming feature in order to click when the hyperlink contacted with the gift server (*Todd*, *Col. 11, lines 24-25*)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SABA DAGNEW whose telephone number is (571)270-3271. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James W. Myhre can be reached on 571-272-6722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3688

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3688 /Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688